Two important considerations should be borne in mind by the students who use either these tables or the detailed reports for comparative purposes.

First, in spite of the improvements recently effected, registration generally, and the registration of births in particular, is not universally carried out. The great extent of the country and the isolation of many of its inhabitants partly account for this unsatisfactory situation.

Secondly, the great differences in the age and sex distribution of the population in different provinces, as shown by the census of 1921, make comparisons (of crude birth rates, for instance) as among the provinces unfair and misleading. For instance, in British Columbia in 1921 there were only 773 females of ages 15 to 44 to every 1,000 males of these ages, while in Quebec there were 1,017 and in Prince Edward Island 986. Evidently, in view of the great disproportion between the sexes in British Columbia, the crude birth rate per 1,000 of population in that province cannot properly be compared with the crude birth rate in Quebec or Prince Edward Island, and consequently a table has been included showing the legitimate birth rate per 1,000 married women between 15 and 44 years of age. Again, in consequence of different age distributions of population in the different provinces the Prairie Provinces, for instance, have a very young population because of the healthy young immigrants whom they attract—a comparison of crude death rates of the provinces is misleading. In the Prairie Provinces, taken together, only 126 per 1,000 of the 1911 population and 149 per 1,000 of the 1921 population had passed 45 years of age, while in Quebec 178, in Ontario 233 and in Prince Edward Island 264 per 1,000 of the population were in 1921 over 45 years of age. These latter provinces, having a much larger proportion of persons of advanced ages, will inevitably have a higher crude death rate per 1,000 of population than the Prairie Provinces. A table showing the death rates as adjusted on the basis of the English "standard million" of 1901 has therefore been included (Table 21).

The natural increase of the population of Canada is first dealt with, followed by detailed tables of births, marriages and deaths in the order named.

Section 1.—Natural Increase.

Summary statistics of the births, marriages, deaths and natural increase per 1,000 of population are given for the years 1922 to 1927 by provinces in Table 1. The figures for 1927 are subject to revision.

The province of Quebec has one of the highest rates of natural increase per 1,000 of population in any civilized country, $23\cdot4$ in 1921, $21\cdot8$ in 1922, $18\cdot6$ in 1923, $22\cdot0$ in 1924, $20\cdot9$ in 1925, $17\cdot6$ in 1926 and $18\cdot0$ in 1927. This brings the average for Canada (exclusive of the territories) up to $17\cdot8$ per 1,000 in 1921, $16\cdot5$ in 1922, $14\cdot7$ in 1923, $15\cdot8$ in 1924, $15\cdot2$ in 1925, $13\cdot3$ in 1926, and $13\cdot5$ in 1927.

In Australia the rate of natural increase in 1926 was $12 \cdot 6$ per 1,000, in New Zealand in 1926 $12 \cdot 3$, in England and Wales in 1926 $6 \cdot 2$, in Scotland in 1926 $7 \cdot 9$, and in the Irish Free State $6 \cdot 5$, so that Canada compares quite favourably with other British countries.